



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,656	02/09/2004	Yoshihisa Yamashita	10873.1397US01	1445
7590 03/04/2008 Hamre, Schumann, Mueller & Larson, P.C. P.O. Box 2902-0902 Minneapolis, MN 55402				
EXAMINER				
DINH, TUAN T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2841				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/04/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/775,656

**Applicant(s)**

YAMASHITA ET AL.

**Examiner**

Tuan T. Dinh

**Art Unit**

2841

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan T. Dinh.(3) Douglas Mueller.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) Masako Yoshida.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claimed invention with Mr. Mueller and Ms. Yoshida, specifically on the propose claim amendment (claim 1). Mr. Mueller uses figure 3 to show the relationship of  $Tq2 < Tq1 < Tq1'$ . However, the adding new limitations in the propose amendment are not clear. Mr. Mueller will revise the claim and file the RCE to make the prosecution for the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tuan T Dinh/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.